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NATURE OF THE PROGRAMS

Created by the State University Board of Trustees as a prestigious system-level distinction, the Distinguished Faculty Rank (DFR) programs recognize and reward SUNY’s finest and most accomplished faculty. The Distinguished Professorship (DP), Distinguished Service Professorship (DSP) and Distinguished Teaching Professorship (DTP) are three of the four designations that constitute this highest system tribute conferred upon SUNY instructional faculty. The other designation is Distinguished Librarian.

Distinguished Faculty Rank programs encourage ongoing commitment to excellence, kindle intellectual vibrancy, elevate the standards of instruction and enrich contributions to public service. They demonstrate the State University’s pride and gratitude for the consummate professionalism, the groundbreaking scholarship, the exceptional instruction and the breadth and significance of service contributions of its faculty.

Appointment constitutes a promotion to the State University’s highest academic rank, and it is conferred solely by the State University Board of Trustees. The expectation is that individuals so appointed will receive additional support, consistent with the resources of the campus.

SCOPE OF THESE PROGRAMS

The Distinguished Professorship is conferred upon faculty having achieved national or international prominence and a distinguished reputation within the individual’s chosen field through significant contributions to the research and scholarship, or through artistic performance or achievement in the fine and performing arts.
The **Distinguished Service Professorship** is conferred upon *instructional* faculty having achieved a distinguished reputation for service not only to the campus and the University, but also to the community, the State of New York or even the nation, by sustained effort in the application of intellectual skills drawing from the candidate’s scholarly and research interests to issues of public concern. It is bestowed on faculty in any of the disciplines or fields of study.

The **Distinguished Teaching Professorship** is conferred upon *instructional* faculty for outstanding teaching competence at the graduate, undergraduate, or professional levels. Teaching mastery is to be consistently demonstrated over multiple years at the institution where the Distinguished Teaching Professorship is bestowed.

### REWARDS OF CONFERRAL

**Rank**

**SUNY State-operated Campuses**

The Distinguished Faculty Rank is a promotion to a prestigious, tenured rank above that of full professor. Individuals so appointed are at a professional level beyond that which can be attained at the campus level.

**SUNY Community College Campuses**

Each SUNY Community College campus must confirm in writing whether their Distinguished designation awards will be an honorific distinction or a change in faculty rank.

**Status** – It is expected that individuals so appointed will be accorded such support as is appropriate to their endeavors consistent with the resources of the campus. In acknowledgement of the significance of appointment, the campus catalogue will list Distinguished Librarians, Distinguished Professors, Distinguished Service Professors, and Distinguished Teaching Professors separately at the head of the faculty list. Such persons will be placed again in the faculty list and their specific rank will be indicated.

**Salary**

**SUNY State-operated Campuses**

Appointment is a promotion that carries tenure in rank, if it has not already been attained, and a salary increase of up to $2,500. (This is in addition to any negotiated general salary increase). Such increases will be funded from the campus budget through the normal process for funding promotions. The increase is built into the salary base of the appointee, and it must be included in campus budgets of ensuing years.

**SUNY Community College Campuses**

If a SUNY Community College award is a change in faculty rank, the statement above, under “State-operated Campuses,” applies. If it is an honorific distinction, the Community College will decide if there is a monetary sum associated with the award.
**Other Commemorative** – The Distinguished Faculty medallion, specifically commissioned to commemorate this distinction, is awarded to each newly promoted faculty member who obtains the Distinguished Rank.

Appointment to Distinguished designation carries with it an extraordinary responsibility. Distinguished Professors are expected to offer lectures and seminars for students and scholars on other campuses of the University, or other appropriate presentations the individual might bring to them. They may also be asked, by the Chancellor, from a member of the Chancellor’s staff, or at the request of a campus President, to help raise or ensure academic excellence within the State University. Distinguished Service Professors are expected to function as role models and devote appropriate service to University-wide activities, both ceremonial and professional, on campuses other than their own when requested to do so by the Chancellor. Distinguished Teaching Professors are expected to devote a considerable proportion of their time to curricular reform and to the improvement of instruction on the home campus. Over and above this campus responsibility is a responsibility to the State University as a whole. During each ten-month academic year, Distinguished Teaching Professors will hopefully devote up to one full week in service to the University on campuses other than their own. Such service may come at the request of the Chancellor, from a member of the Chancellor's staff, or at the request of a campus President.

**CAMPUS PARTICIPATION**

**SUNY State-operated Campuses**

All State-operated campuses may nominate faculty.

**SUNY Community College Campuses**

All SUNY Community College campuses may nominate faculty. In a SUNY Community College’s first year of participation, its Board of Trustees and President must sign a letter indicating their willingness to participate. The letter must also state their college’s intention to designate these Professorships as either an honorific distinction or a change in faculty rank. Please send this letter to Dr. Kavita Pandit, Senior Vice Provost, T-8, State University Plaza, Albany, New York 12246.

**NUMBER OF NOMINATIONS**

Eligibility is limited to faculty having attained the rank of full professor. There is no limit as to the number of campus nominations for Distinguished Professor. For DTP and DSP, however, campuses may make nominations only on a ratio to full-time, full professorial lines indicated for the campus during the previous fall. Campuses having 124 or fewer full-time, full professors shall receive one nomination allocation annually. Campuses with 125 or more full-time, full professors shall receive two nomination allocations annually. The number of nominations allocated to each campus for the DTP and DSP shall accompany the annual program announcement.

**ELIGIBILITY**

The individual eligibility criteria are detailed in the program-specific information below.
General Restrictions on Eligibility

The following are special conditions, applicable to all programs that limit eligibility:

• faculty holding Distinguished Faculty Rank – Distinguished Librarian, Distinguished Professor, Distinguished Service Professor, or Distinguished Teaching Professor – may not be nominated for another Distinguished Faculty Rank designation;

• faculty holding qualified academic appointments (as defined in Board of Trustees policies: individuals holding titles of academic rank that are preceded by the designation ...“visiting” or other similar designations) may not be nominated; ¹

• faculty holding a concurrent administrative appointment above the level of department chair for which they receive extra compensation are ineligible for the DSP;

• faculty who have retired or faculty serving in part-time capacities are ineligible; and

• posthumous nominations are not permissible.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC ELIGIBILITY AND SELECTION CRITERIA

Distinguished Professorship – DP

Academic Rank – Candidates must have attained the rank of full professor.

Criteria for Selection² – To be nominated for the Distinguished Professorship:

☐ The person's work must be of such a character that the individual's presence will elevate the standards of scholarship of colleagues both within and beyond the individual's respective academic field.

☐ The individual must have achieved national or international prominence and a distinguished reputation within the individual's chosen field through significant contributions to the research literature or through artistic performance or achievement in the case of the fine and performing arts, beyond that which is expected for a full professor.

☐ The individual must have obtained national or international awards that provide evidence of distinguished research, scholarship, or other creative activity.

☐ Individuals who are also inventors should have achieved prominence as assessed by the extent their discoveries have had measurable benefit to society.

¹ The State University of New York Policies of the Board of Trustees – 2001 Article II § 1 (k)
² These criteria necessarily are stated in the most general terms and are meant to supplement rather than supplant criteria developed by the appropriate local nominating body.
Distinguished Service Professorship – DSP

**Academic Rank** – Candidates must have attained the rank of full professor.

**Length of Service** – Candidates must have held the rank of full professor for five years, must have at least three years of full-time service at the nominating institution, and must have completed at least ten years of full-time service in the State University of New York.

**Criteria for Selection** – A candidate for Distinguished Service Professor must demonstrate substantial distinguished service **both**:

1. At the local campus level and/or local community or regional level; and
2. At the state-wide and/or nation-wide and/or international level.

Distinguished service must exceed the work generally considered to be part of a candidate’s basic professional work (professional committees, etc.) and should include service that exceeds that for which professors are normally compensated. **Thus, faculty with a concurrent administrative appointment – above the level of department chair/director or equivalent – for which they receive extra compensation are ineligible.** Furthermore, it is not appropriate to build a nomination dossier of a former administrator based upon service while in an administrative appointment. Distinguished service must extend over multiple years and involve the application of intellectual skills drawing from the candidate’s scholarly and research interests to issues of public concern, and may include, but not be solely base upon, exceptional leadership in local and system-wide faculty governance.

Distinguished Teaching Professorship - DTP

**Academic Rank** – Candidates must have attained the rank of full professor.

**Length of Service** – Candidates must have held the rank of full professor for five years, have completed at least three years of full-time teaching on the campus that recommends the appointment and ten years of full-time teaching within the System.

Nominations must be drawn from faculty members who have regularly carried a full-time teaching load, both in terms of the number of semester hours taught and the number of students served, as defined by the campus for full-time faculty. The definition of a full-time teaching load varies from campus to campus, but each campus should be satisfied that there could be no question that its nominee meets this criterion.

Department chairpersons/directors or equivalent may be nominated for the Distinguished Teaching Professorship contingent upon the individual’s carrying the campus-defined full-time teaching load for all persons performing such administrative responsibilities (e.g., if a campus defines 15 hours as a full-time teaching load for full-time faculty and 12 hours as a full-time teaching load for department chairs, then an individual serving as a department chair teaching the 12 hours and meeting the other eligibility requirements would be eligible for nomination). Administrators with less than a campus-defined teaching load (e.g., dean, associate dean) are ineligible.

**Criteria for Selection** – The primary criterion for appointment to the rank is skill in teaching, with evidence that the nominee’s work has elevated the standards of colleagues at their institution or the field in general. Consideration shall also be given to mastery of subject matter, sound scholarship, service to the University
and the broader community, and to continuing growth. The following criteria are to be used in the selection of persons to be nominated for the Distinguished Teaching Professorship:

- **Teaching Techniques and Representative Materials** – There must be positive evidence that the candidate performs superbly in the classroom. The nominee must maintain a flexible instructional policy that adapts readily to student needs, interests, and problems. Mastery of teaching techniques must be demonstrated and substantiated. Consideration should be given to the number of substantially different courses taught, the number of students per course, and the teaching techniques employed in the various courses.

  When available, student evaluations (in the form of student questionnaires administered and compiled by persons other than the nominee) presented for several different courses over a period of several recent years may provide the local selection committee with a clear idea of the nominee's impact on students.

- **Scholarship and Professional Growth** – The candidate must be a teacher/scholar who keeps abreast of and makes significant contributions in his or her own field and uses the relevant contemporary data from that field and related disciplines in teaching. Examples of evidence in this category may include publications or artistic productions, grant awards, and presentations at symposia in his or her disciplines.

- **Student Services** – In relating to students, the candidate must be generous with personal time, easily accessible, and must demonstrate a continual concern with the intellectual growth of individual students. For this category, consideration should be given to the accessibility of the nominee to students outside of class (e.g., office hours, conferences, special meetings, the nominee's responsibility in terms of student advisement, and the nominee's teaching-related services to students).

- **Academic Standards/Requirements and Evaluations of Student Performance** – The candidate must set high standards for students and help them attain academic excellence. Quantity and quality of work that is more than average for the subject must be required of the student. The candidate must actively work with students to help them improve their scholarly or artistic performance. The local selection committee should consider the quality, quantity, and difficulty of course-related work. Evidence of academic standards and requirements may be assessed by the accomplishments of students, including placement and achievement level.

  The candidate's evaluation of students' work must be strongly supported by evidence. Expert teachers enable students to achieve high levels of scholarship. Consequently, it is possible that the candidate's marking record may be somewhat above the average of colleagues. There must be evidence that the candidate does not hesitate to give low evaluations to students who do poorly. Grading practices should be evaluated by the local committee. In particular, grade distribution for all courses in recent academic years should be reviewed and any seemingly unusual grading patterns explained.

In order to clarify the difference between the Distinguished Professorship and the Chancellor's Award for Excellence in Teaching, the files for candidates must provide evidence that they are or have been involved for some substantial period of time in developing or promoting excellence in teaching through pedagogical methods and/or principles or in substantive educational enhancement either in their disciplines or to meet community needs on a regional, national, or international level.
CAMPUS NOMINATION/SELECTION PROCESS

The Distinguished Professorship programs require that nominations coming forward to the System-level be the product of an objective and rigorous campus review. Further, the nomination process should benefit from the involvement of as a broad spectrum of the campus community. It should be made clear to all that, under no circumstance, may faculty apply or self-nominate for these programs.

CONFIDENTIALITY

Appointment to the Distinguished Professorship, Distinguished Service Professorship or the Distinguished Teaching Professorship is an academic career capstone. Conversely, non-selection elicits enormous disappointment. The sensitivities inherent in these programs and the nature of the supporting documentation make it imperative that all deliberations, communications, and actions of the campus committee remain strictly confidential. (Ideally, this should extend to the identity of those selected by the local selection committee as the campus’ nominees for these promotions.)

Further, because of the confidentiality of these proceedings, the System-level coordinator of these programs will interact only with the campus President or the President’s designee.

The program-specific nomination requirements follow:

**Distinguished Professorship (DP)** - The formal submission of a nomination for appointment to the rank of DP is entirely at the discretion of the campus President. To select candidates for nomination, the campus may employ any screening process it chooses.

**Distinguished Service Professorship (DSP)** – nominations for the DSP should arise from the entire faculty, student body, and administration and should be based on continuing and recognized service.

**Distinguished Teaching Professorship (DTP)** – nominations for the DTP should arise from the entire faculty, student body and administration, and should be based on continuing and recognized teaching quality.

LOCAL SELECTION COMMITTEES FOR DSP AND DTP

**Committee Appointment and Structure** – The local selection committees for DSP and DTP shall be appointed by the local governance structure (as is currently done by each campus for appointment of other faculty committees) with the concurrence of the campus President. It shall be structured to include the chair (or designee) of the campus faculty governance organization, and one member, designated by the campus President, to act in an *ex officio* capacity. In addition, it shall include for the:

**Distinguished Service Professorship** – faculty who have considerable seniority and knowledge about the campus, and

**Distinguished Teaching Professorship** – full-time faculty and student representation.
**Committee Role** – The local selection committee is empanelled specifically to solicit nominations, to conduct an objective and unbiased evaluation of the merits of proposed candidates, to prepare the final nomination portfolio, and to forward to the campus President or the President’s designee only the campus’ finest exemplars of the qualities recognized through the Distinguished Service and Distinguished Teaching Professorships. The local selection committee must determine that a candidate meets, and preferably surpasses, the selection criteria for the Distinguished designation for which nominated (e.g., that for the DTP, the candidate meets – and preferably surpasses – the campus’s requirements for scholarship).

Other than self-nomination, the committee, working with the campus President or the President’s designee may establish whatever procedures are deemed appropriate to elicit nominations for the DSP and DTP (e.g., bulletins, announcements at departmental meetings, campus newspapers, e-mails, listservs, etc.). It also determines the process the campus will follow in evaluating and selecting candidates to forward to System Administration.

The local selection committee shall make its report in the form of a recommendation to the President, and shall forward to the President no more than the number of nominations allowed.

**NOTE**: The campus process may be more extensive and the campus requirements more rigorous than the requirements outlined in the System guidelines. For instance, although not required under program procedures, some campuses require that candidates have received the comparable Chancellor’s Award for Excellence in order to be considered for nomination to the Distinguished rank. However, campus processes may never be less stringent than System-level requirements.

**NOMINATION PORTFOLIO**

Once a decision is made to submit a nomination, a nomination portfolio will be compiled. It will consist of the President's letter of endorsement, a nomination abstract, the candidate’s curriculum vita, key campus nominating letters, and external letters of recommendation. The contents of these supporting documents are described below:

- **President’s Endorsement/Transmittal Letter** - This letter, signed by the President, should highlight the candidate’s most outstanding accomplishments and describe the campus support for the nomination. [This letter may be the document used in or as the basis for the Board of Trustees resolution, should the nomination be recommended.] The letter should be addressed to Dr. Risa I. Palm, the University Provost and Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs. A separate letter is to accompany each nomination.

- **Nomination Abstract** – Please provide a brief one paragraph abstract of 150 to 180 words. This abstract should provide a clear, thematic picture that describes the candidate’s main accomplishments. It should highlight why the candidate has been nominated to DP, DSP or DTP, and may be taken from other parts of the nomination package. **If the candidate is an awardee, this abstract may be used for press releases or testimonials.**

- **Curriculum Vitae** - An up-to-date and moderately comprehensive vita that should have separate sections for educational background, academic/visiting appointments, honors and awards received, publications, external funding, invited/keynote presentations, other presentations, teaching accomplishments (including lists of graduate dissertations, theses and research directed and other mentoring), and service contributions to the University, the community, and the profession (work
with learned societies, editorial boards, conferences organized, and other relevant activities). Entries for awards should indicate significance of each item. **Specific data must include the date of the last update, the candidate’s department, the date of appointment to the SUNY system, highest rank attained and date of appointment to that rank.**

**Distinguished Professor** – Articles in refereed and non-refereed journals should be clearly distinguished from one another and, preferably, listed separately with full pagination and ordered by date of publication. Books should be listed separately from articles. Publications with multiple authors should indicate the senior author, if there is one, either by a note at the beginning of the publications list (if senior author position is consistent throughout) or by an asterisk indicating the senior author in each entry. External funding entries should indicate agency, amount, dates, and, if there are multiple named investigators, which is the PI.

• **Internal Letters of Recommendation** – At least five, but no more than eight, letters are needed. Key Campus Nomination Letters - Letters from the Provost (Chief Academic Officer) and candidate’s Dean/Division Head are to provide detailed information and the specific rationale – preferably in laymen's terms – for the candidate’s nomination and justification for appointment.

**Distinguished Teaching Professor** – At least two of these letters should be from current students attesting to the candidate’s teaching ability, dedication and service to students.

• **External Letters of Recommendation** – At least five but no more than eight, are needed to validate the stature of the candidate proposed for appointment. Each external letter of recommendation should be accompanied either by the author’s curriculum vitae or a detailed description of the author’s stature sufficient to provide review panelists a context for the recommendation submitted.

**Distinguished Professor** – These letters should be from individuals whose own status or accomplishment is appropriate to a promotion at this level. They should be persons sufficiently acquainted with both the candidate’s work and the profession to be able to write an informed letter specifically locating the candidate’s standing in and contribution to the discipline, and explaining the significance of the candidate’s awards and honors. Letter writers should be disinterested, that is, generally individuals who have not collaborated, co-authored, co-taught, or been in a student-teacher relationship with the candidate. If the letter writers have collaborated with the candidate in the past, they should pass the same distance test used by the major funding agencies in the candidate’s field: if the relationship is recent or close enough that the proposed letter writer would have to recuse himself/herself from a funding panel considering an application for that candidate, then the proposed letter writer is too recent or close for this promotion dossier.

**Distinguished Teaching Professor (optional for community colleges)** - In these letters, the recommenders should: comment briefly about their relationship to the candidate, corroborate the candidate’s merit for appointment, describe the candidate’s teaching techniques, catalog the candidate’s most important achievements, speak to the influence and impact of the candidate’s contribution to teaching in general or in the discipline, and explain the significance of the candidate’s awards and honors. At least two
of these letters should be from former students of the candidate attesting to the candidate’s teaching ability, dedication and service to students.

**Distinguished Service Professor (optional for community colleges)** - In these letters, the recommenders should: comment briefly about their relationship to the candidate, corroborate the candidate’s merit for appointment, describe the candidate’s stature in the profession and/or community, catalog the candidate’s most important achievements, speak to the influence and impact of the candidate’s contribution on the profession and/or community, and explain the significance of the candidate’s service awards and honors.

- **Course Evaluations (Distinguished Teaching Professor only)** – Numerical summaries of selected student course evaluations should be included if possible.

**SUBMISSION OF NOMINATIONS**

**Nominations are to be submitted electronically to AWARDS@SUNY.EDU.** Only the original nomination needs to be submitted. Copies of the candidate’s works, articles, reviews, etc., are not to be included as part of the portfolio. The campus must retain the original portfolio containing original signatures of the President, Chief Academic Officer, appropriate Dean and each scholar submitting a recommendation.

**PROCEDURES AT THE UNIVERSITY-WIDE LEVEL**

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF NOMINATIONS**

Receipt of nominations will be acknowledged electronically to the campus President, and a copy of the acknowledgement will be sent to President’s designee.

**SYSTEM-LEVEL REVIEW OF NOMINATION PORTFOLIO**

A review of the candidate’s nomination portfolio will be conducted at the University-wide level by specifically constituted panels known as the University-wide Advisory Councils on Distinguished Professorships.

Each Distinguished rank will have a separate panel comprised of Distinguished Professors, Distinguished Service Professors, or Distinguished Teaching Professors from across the University and of representatives from the Office of the University Provost and Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs at System Administration. In addition, for the DSP and DTP, the President of the University Faculty Senate (or designee) participates in nomination review for State-operated campuses, and the President of the Faculty Council of Community Colleges (or designee) participates in nomination review for Community College campuses.

The nomination portfolio will form the basis for the Council’s decision. No external referees will be involved in the System-level evaluation process. The Council’s deliberations will be held in the strictest confidence.

To facilitate timely review of nomination portfolios, please submit new submissions by Friday of the second week of September for a November decision or Friday of the second week in March for a May decision. Late submissions may be considered in the next award cycle.
CAMPUS OPTIONS IN CASES OF NON-RECOMMENDATION

The campus has the option of responding immediately to the concerns, of resubmitting the nomination in a subsequent cycle within a two-year period (without impact on the number of future nominations the campus may make) or of withdrawing the nomination. Contact with the campus President (or the President’s designee) is always confidential and initiated prior to submitting the list of recommended appointments to the University Provost and the Chancellor.

APPOINTMENT PROCESS

The University-wide Advisory Councils on Distinguished Professorships will make their recommendations to the Provost, who in turn will make recommendations to the Chancellor. The recommendation will take the form of a resolution to the SUNY Board of Trustees. (The President’s letter of endorsement and transmittal may be the document that will be included in the Board resolution. It should be noted that the resolution is the only information the Trustees see in making their decisions.) Appointments become effective on the day, and at the time, the Trustees vote on the appointment.

Nominations being recommended for the Board of Trustees consideration will be voted upon by the Trustees as an “Action Agenda Item” during the Open Session of the Trustees meetings. It should be noted, however, that as promotions these appointments are personnel matters subject to discussion during the Executive Session of the Board’s meetings.

NOTIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT

On the day of Board action, the Senior Vice Provost will notify the campus President or the President’s designee to confirm appointments made by the Trustees. Formal notification to the appointee will follow and will be conveyed by letter from the Chancellor, with a copy to the campus President. In addition, a news release will be prepared to publicize the appointments.

QUESTIONS

Questions regarding these procedures or appointments are to be directed to Dr. Robert Kraushaar, who can be reached at Robert.Kraushaar@SUNY.edu.

It should be noted, however, that due to the confidential nature of the nominations, inquiries pertaining to specific candidates may only be discussed with the campus President or the President’s designee.

NOTE: Failure by a campus to comply with the procedures established for the selection of nominees may result in the disqualification of all nominees from that institution.